

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,658	10/13/2000	TOKURO OZAWA	107260	7418
25944	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			AWAD, AMR A	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2675	26

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/689,658	OZAWA, TOKURO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
s.	Amr Awad	2675				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	pril 2004.					
·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	, ===					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-27 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-23 and 27 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 24-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 H.S.C. & 110/o) (d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ate: Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2675

Claim Rejections - 35 U. S. C. § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U. S. C. 103 (a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over in view of Kusada (US patent NO. 6,256,024) in view of Maekawa (US patent NO. 6,256,024).

As to independent claims 24 and 25, Kusada (figure 13) teaches sampling and hold switches (150 and 151) (col. 20, lines 51-62), an A/D converter (A/D 101 to 106 in figure 14) for converting a first analog signal that is supplied through a corresponding sampling switches (col. 21, lines 5258), a number of latches (129-134) storing digital signal (col. 23, lines 1-5), and a D/A converter (13 5-140), each D/A converter converts the digital signal held in the corresponding latches into a second analog to digital signal to be supplied to the plurality of pixels (col. 23, lines 1-8).

However, Maekawa teaches that the N sampling switches, the N latches and the N D/A converters being disposed on one substrate (col. 3, lines 48-53).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teaching of Maekawa having the driving

Application/Control Number: 09/689,658

Art Unit: 2675

elements disposed on one substrate to be included in Kusada's device so as to reduce the size of the liquid crystal driving area as well as to reduce the cost.

As to claim 26, Maekawa teaches that the LCD can be used for electronic apparatus (personal computer) (col. 2, lines 18-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the device in a personal computer so as to increase the versatilities of the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10-23 and 27 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed April 26, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to the argument regarding independent claims 15 and 27, the argument is moot in view of indicating that these claims are allowed.

Applicant (top three paragraphs of page 3) argued that the combination of Kusada and Maekawa fails to teach or suggest all of the features recited in the claims because the cited combination fails to teach or suggest that the A/D conversion circuit, the storage device and the D/A conversion circuit being disposed on one substrates on which the plurality of pixels are disposed. Examiner respectfully disagrees. The rejection above is based on the combination of the two references as whole. The rejection clearly shows that Maekawa teaches that the N sampling switches, the N latches and the N

Application/Control Number: 09/689,658

Art Unit: 2675

D/A converters being disposed on one substrate (col. 3, lines 48-53). Therefore, the combination clearly teaches the invention as claimed in claims 24-25.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amr Awad whose telephone number is (703)308-8485. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridary from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703)305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2675

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Amr Awad Primary Examiner Art Unit 2675

A.A